

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,717	04/08/2005		Shuichi Kubota	1207-115 7748		
23117	7590	07/14/2006		EXAMINER		
		RHYE, PC	LEE, GILBERT Y			
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3673	3673	
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/530,717	KUBOTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gilbert Y. Lee	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Motice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/8/05 & 6/17/05</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/530,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 13 "formed" should be changed to --form--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 13 "formed" should be changed to --form--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claims 5-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-16 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3673

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 2 recite "a reinforcing member" in line 6 and "a reinforcing member" in line 12. It is unclear to the examiner whether the applicant is claiming a first reinforcing member and a second reinforcing member that is integrated with the heat-resistant material or if the applicant is claiming a single reinforcing member. For the purposes of this examination the examiner is interpreting the claims to read as a single reinforcing member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al. (US Patent No. 5,499,825) in view of Hutchings et al. (US Patent No. 6,102,995).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, the Maeda et al. reference discloses a spherical annular seal member which is used particularly in an exhaust pipe spherical joint, comprising:

a spherical annular base member (10) defined by a cylindrical inner surface (e.g. surface labeled at 11), a partially convex spherical surface (12), and large- (e.g. right most diameter in Fig. 1) and small (e.g. left most diameter in Fig. 1) diameter-side annular end faces of said partially convex spherical surface; and

Page 4

an outer layer formed integrally with said partially convex spherical surface of said spherical annular base member, said spherical annular base member including a reinforcing member (13) made from a compressed metal wire net and a heat-resistant material (Col. 6, Lines 54-58) filling meshes of said metal wire net of said reinforcing member, compressed in such a manner as to be formed integrally with said reinforcing member in mixed form, and containing expanded graphite (Col. 4, Lines 22 and 23), said outer layer including a lubricating composition constituted of at least boron nitride and at least one of alumina and silica (Col. 4, Lines 35-38), an outer surface of said partially convex spherical surface exposed to an outside in said outer layer being formed into a smooth lubricating sliding surface (15) in which said lubricating composition and said reinforcing member are integrated in mixed form.

However, the Maeda et al. reference fails to explicitly disclose the heat-resistant material containing organic phosphorus compound.

The Hutchings et al. reference, an expandable graphite material, discloses the addition of an organic phosphorous compound (Col. 13, Lines 47-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an organic phosphorous compound to the Maeda et al.

Art Unit: 3673

reference in view of the teachings of the Hutchings et al. reference in order to provide a fire resistant composition (Hutchings et al. Col. 13, Lines 47-53).

Regarding claim 3, the Maeda et al. reference, as modified in claim 2, discloses the lubricating composition containing 70-90 wt.% of boron nitride and 10-30 wt.% of at least one of alumina and silica (Col. 5, Lines 35-38).

Regarding claim 4, the Maeda et al. reference, as modified in claim 2, discloses the lubricating composition further containing polytetrafluoroethylene resin (Col. 5, Lines 16-28).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Olstowski (US Patent No. 3,719,608) which discloses the percentage of organic phosphorus compound to be used in expandable graphite.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gilbert Y. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-5894. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on (571)272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia Engle

SPE

Art Unit 3673

GL 7/7/06